Synchronizability of two neurons with switching in the coupling
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Abstract

Time-varying networks are prominently present in the nervous system, where the connections between neurons vary according to the activity of pre and post synapses. Using this as motivation, we here consider a system of two ephaptically coupled neurons with a periodically time-varying link between the two membrane potentials. We derive the master stability function in dependence on the membrane potential coupling strength and in dependence on the ephaptic coupling strength. We first determine coupling ranges at which the two neurons are synchronizable if the link between them is static. In doing so, we find that the ephaptic coupling has a negligible impact on synchronization, while the coupling through the membrane potential has a strong effect. We then consider switching in the coupling, determining the average synchronization error for a fixed ephaptic coupling strength and different membrane potential coupling strengths, and for various switching frequencies. We find the values of the switching coefficient that can synchronize the two neurons for each of the considered switching frequencies, and finally, we also use the basin stability method to determine the global stability of synchronization.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Complex networks are helpful tools for clarifying different characteristics of the complex systems [1–4]. Synchronization is a universal phenomenon in complex networks which has been investigated in different physical, chemical and biological networks [5–9]. In the past years, synchronous activity has been discussed extensively in neuronal networks [10–13]. It has been revealed that synchronization of bursting neurons is associated with various cognitive functions and pathological brain states. As examples we can mention Parkinson’s disease, essential tremor and epilepsies. Also synchronization of bursting neurons has an important role in processing information of healthy neural tissues [14,15].

The connections between network components are of great significance [16]. Majority of the previous research has studied the synchronization phenomenon in the networks with static coupling [17–19]. But in reality the networks, e.g., biological, epidemiological and social networks, have time varying coupling schemes [20]. In recent years, a few studies have considered the network topologies which vary by time [20–24]. In 2004, Belykh et al. [25] proposed blinking network that
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the couplings are independently switched with a predefined probability at specific time duration. Zhou et al. [26] considered a time varying network with slow-switching structure and studied the necessary conditions for synchronization. Stilwell et al. [27] showed that a network with time-varying topology is synchronizable if the network synchronizes for the static time-average of the topology if the time-average can be achieved fast. Buscarino et al. [28] considered a system of two Rossler oscillators with a switching coupling and studied its dynamics with respect to the switching frequency. Rakshit et al. [29] considered a two-layer neuronal network where the intralayer connections switched randomly by time with a specific rewiring frequency. They studied the network synchronization and derived the master stability function.

In the brain, the connections between the neurons depend on the usage of the dendrites and flow of neurotransmitter and therefore is time dependent [30]. Alterations in synaptic strength or connectivity and changes in the excitability of neurons, determine plasticity in neurons [31]. Plasticity exists in spinal dorsal horn neurons, peripheral nociceptors and the brain. It occurs at different levels [32]. At low levels, plasticity occurs in the behavior of single ion channels and at high levels, it can be found in morphology of neurons and large networks [31]. Changes in synaptic strength and synapse number is the most prominent among all the forms of brain plasticity [33]. Timing of activation of presynaptic and post-synaptic activity determines the induction of plasticity [31]. The synaptic plasticity time scales have a wide range of milliseconds to days [26]. Synaptic plasticity has crucial roles in many brain functions, including learning, memory, altering excitability in the brain, regulating behavioral states such as the transitions between sleep and wakeful activity. It is also observed in pathological pain, consisting the inflammation induced by tissue injury and neuropathic pain induced by nerve injury [31,32,34].

One of the other factors that can induce synaptic plasticity is the electric field interactions known as ephaptic coupling. The electrodiffusion within the synaptic cleft induces local electric fields which can change the membrane potential of the presynaptic terminal and therefore the ionic flux. Then the neurotransmitter release is increased. The neurotransmitter release changes the spiking of neurons and influences synaptic strength of two synthetically connected neurons by inducing plasticity [35]. It has been proven that ephaptic interaction affects propagation velocity with reverse relation to gap junctional conductivity [36]. Ephaptic coupling can also lead the independently stimulated axons to become synchronous [37].

In order to better understand the effects of time-varying coupling strength on synchronization, in this paper we consider two coupled neurons in which the coupling strength switches between two different values at a specified frequency. For each neuron we use modified Hindmarsh–Rose model with electromagnetic induction and the two neurons are also coupled ephaptically.

The structure of the paper is as follows: The following section investigates the dynamical model of the system and the coupled network. Section 3 describes the methods and our main numerical results. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. Model

In 2016, Lv et al. [38] proposed a modified Hindmarsh–Rose model to describe the effect of electromagnetic induction on neuronal activities. The equations of this model are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= y + bx^2 - ax^3 - z + I_{\text{ext}} - k_1 \rho(\vartheta)x \\
\dot{y} &= c - d x^2 - y \\
\dot{z} &= r \left[ s (x + 1.6) - z \right] \\
\dot{\vartheta} &= x - k_2 \vartheta
\end{align*}
\]

where the variables \(x, y, z, \vartheta\) and \(I_{\text{ext}}\) represent the membrane potential, current for recovery variable, slow adaption current, magnetic flux across membrane and the external forcing current, respectively. The term \(\rho(\vartheta) = \alpha + 3\beta\vartheta^2\) describes the memory conductance of a magnetic flux associated with memory. The parameters are selected as \(a = 1, b = 3, c = 1, d = 5, r = 0.006, s = 4, k_1 = 1, k_2 = 0.5, \alpha = 0.1\) and \(\beta = 0.02\). \(I_{\text{ext}}\) is fixed at 3.4, in which the neuron exhibits chaotic behavior. Fig. 1 shows time series of membrane potential and the attractor of this model at the mentioned parameters.

Firstly, we construct a system consisting of two statically coupled neurons with both membrane potential and ephaptic couplings:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= y_1 + bx_1^2 - ax_1^3 - z_1 + I_{\text{ext}} - k_1 \rho(\vartheta_1)x_1 + d_x(x_2 - x_1) \\
\dot{y}_1 &= c - d x_1^2 - y_1 \\
\dot{z}_1 &= r \left[ s (x_1 + 1.6) - z_1 \right] \\
\dot{\vartheta}_1 &= x_1 - k_2 \vartheta_1 + d_\vartheta(\vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1) \\
\dot{x}_2 &= y_2 + bx_2^2 - ax_2^3 - z_2 + I_{\text{ext}} - k_1 \rho(\vartheta_2)x_2 + d_x(x_1 - x_2) \\
\dot{y}_2 &= c - d x_2^2 - y_2 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= r \left[ s (x_2 + 1.6) - z_2 \right] \\
\dot{\vartheta}_2 &= x_2 - k_2 \vartheta_2 + d_\vartheta(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)
\end{align*}
\]

where the subscripts indicate the two respective neurons, \(d_x\) is the \(x\) variable coupling strength and \(d_\vartheta\) is the ephaptic coupling strength. Then we change the \(x\) variable coupling to a time-varying link given by \(d_x(t) = d_1 + \frac{d_2 - d_1}{2} (\text{sgn} (\cos(\omega t)) + 1),\)
where \( \text{sgn}(x) = 1 \) for \( x > 0 \) and \( \text{sgn}(x) = -1 \) otherwise. Thus the effective coupling switches between two constant values of \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) at frequency \( \omega \).

3. Methods and results

Master stability function (MSF) is a method for finding the conditions that guarantee synchronization of a network of identical nonlinear oscillators [39]. Here at first we apply MSF to the static coupled network to find the coupling strengths ranges where two neurons are synchronizable. To compute MSF, suppose that \( X_i \) is the \( m \)-dimensional vector of dynamical variables of \( i^{th} \) node, \( X_i = F(X_i) \) is the uncoupled dynamics of the system, and \( H \) is the function of each node’s variables used in the coupling. Then the dynamics of each node can be described as \( \dot{X}_i = F(X_i) + \sigma \sum_j G_{ij} H(X_j) \) where \( \sigma \) is the coupling strength and \( G \) relates to the connectivity type. Now the corresponding generic variational equation is defined by \( \dot{\xi}_k = [DF + \sigma \gamma_k BH] \xi_k \) where \( \gamma_k \) is an eigenvalue of \( G, k = 0, 1, \ldots, N \). For \( k = 0 \), the variational equation is along the synchronization manifold and the other \( k \)s are related to the transverse eigenmodes of such manifold. Thus, the stability of the synchronous evolution can be obtained by the computation of the maximum Lyapunov exponent of generic variational equation as a function of \( \sigma \), which is defined as MSF [40].

Hence for the system of Eq. (2), we have:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2bx - 3ax^2 - k_1 \rho(\psi) & 1 & -1 & -6k_1\beta \psi_1 \\
-2dx & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
rs & 0 & -r & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -k_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \gamma_0 = 0, \gamma_1 = -2
\]

So the variational equation is described as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_1 &= (2bx - 3ax^2 - k_1 \rho(\psi) - 2\sigma_1) \xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3 - (6k_1\beta \psi_1) \xi_4 \\
\dot{\xi}_2 &= -2dx \xi_1 - \xi_2 \\
\dot{\xi}_3 &= rs \xi_1 - r \xi_3 \\
\dot{\xi}_4 &= \xi_1 - (k_2 + 2\sigma_2) \xi_4
\end{align*}
\]

The maximum Lyapunov exponent of Eq. (4) is computed for different coupling strengths. Fig. 2(a) shows the MSF with respect to \( d_x \) and \( d_y \). The black curve in the figure separates the positive and negative values. As can be seen, ephaptic coupling has a much smaller effect on synchronization in comparison with \( d_x \). For each value of \( d_x \), there is a threshold for \( d_x \). If \( d_x \) gets higher than that threshold, the system will be synchronous. Changing the value of \( d_x \) from 0 to 2, increases this threshold a bit. Fig. 2(b) shows the MSF for \( d_y = 0.5 \). When \( d_x < 0.515 \), MSF becomes positive and therefore the system is not synchronizable. When \( d_x > 0.515 \), MSF becomes negative and synchronization occurs.
Now $d_x$ is exchanged with switching coupling and synchronizability is investigated by varying the values of $d_1$, $d_2$ and $\omega$. In order to quantify the error of two trajectories with $M$ samples, the average synchronization error $E(\omega)$, is calculated as [28]:

$$E(\omega) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{h=1}^{M} \sqrt{\frac{(x_1(h) - x_2(h))^2 + (y_1(h) - y_2(h))^2 + (z_1(h) - z_2(h))^2 + (\vartheta_1(h) - \vartheta_2(h))^2}{x_1(h)^2 + y_1(h)^2 + z_1(h)^2 + \vartheta_1(h)^2 + x_2(h)^2 + y_2(h)^2 + z_2(h)^2 + \vartheta_2(h)^2}}$$

The average synchronization error is computed and normalized in different cases, so that $E \to 0$ corresponds to the synchronous state. Fig. 3 shows the synchronization error $E(\omega)$ for different $d_2$ values with respect to $d_1$ and $\omega$. In Fig. 3(a) $d_2$ is fixed at 0.05. When the value of $d_1$ is small, the system is asynchronous for all values of switching frequencies. When $d_1$ is increased, higher values of $\omega$ can synchronize the system. The same average error with wider synchronization region is seen until $d_2 = 0.3$. For $d_2 = 0.3$, when $d_1 < 0.6$, the system is not synchronous for any values of $\omega$ and when $d_1 > 0.6$, the
The first row shows asynchronous state, in this case the neurons show FH bursting behavior: (a) The time series of membrane potential of first and second neurons (colored in blue and red, respectively), (b) the projections of attractors in the $x-y$ plane and (c) projection of attractor in the $x_1-x_2$ plane. The second row shows synchronous state, in this case the neurons show FH bursting behavior: (d) The time series of membrane potential of first and second neurons (colored in blue and red, respectively), (e) the projections of attractors in the $x-y$ plane and (f) projection of attractor in the $x_1-x_2$ plane. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Considering time series of the system in different coupling parameters shows that varying the coupling strengths or frequency do not change the behavior of the neurons from chaotic bursting to periodic, although there is a difference between synchronous and asynchronous states. Fig. 4 depicts time series and attractors of two neurons for asynchronous state with $d_1 = 0.2$, $d_2 = 0.3$, $\omega = 0.1$ and for synchronous state with $d_1 = 0.4$, $d_2 = 0.9$, $\omega = 0.5$. As can be seen synchronization alters the neurons waveforms from their behaviors in the absence of coupling. As Fig. 1 shows, an uncoupled neuron at the defined parameters, has fold-homoclinic (FHC) bursting behavior. FHC type bursting happens when the resting state disappears via a saddle-node bifurcation and the spiking limit cycle disappears via saddle homoclinic orbit bifurcation [14]. When we couple two neurons, in the case of synchronization, they have FHC bursting synchronization, as can be seen in the second row of Fig. 4. Whereas when they are asynchronous, their behavior is changed to the fold-Hopf (FH) bursting type, as can be seen in the first row of Fig. 4. FH type bursting occurs when the resting state disappears via saddle-node bifurcation and the limit cycle attractor shrinks to a point via supercritical Andronov–Hopf bifurcation [14].

Next we aimed to quantify synchronization global stability. Theoretical and experimental studies have proven that the neurons have coexistence of different neuronal activity regimes such as silence, tonic spiking and bursting which have significant role in dynamical memory and information processing [41]. This feature mainly depends on the initial conditions and can affect the observed synchronization pattern. So for investigating the global stability of obtained synchronous states, here we use basin stability method [11]. To compute basin stability [11], the system of coupled neurons is simulated for a large number of different random initial conditions ($M$). If the number of the initial conditions that lead to synchronous state, is $M_s$, then basin stability is calculated as $M_s/M$. Thus basin stability is the probability of arriving to synchronous states. If all chosen initial conditions arrive to synchronous state, basin stability will be equal to 1 and synchronization is globally stable and if basin stability is equal to zero, the synchronous state is unstable. Fig. 5 shows basin stability of the system for three different $d_1$ values with respect to $d_2$ and $\omega$. When $d_2 = 0.2$, if $d_1$ increases from a threshold, the system becomes globally synchronous for high values of $\omega$ as is seen in Fig. 5(a). When $d_2$ is fixed at 0.5, there is a uniform basin stability for all $d_1$ values and synchronization is globally stable if $\omega$ increases from a threshold, as is seen in Fig. 5(b). Finally, when $d_2$ is raised to higher values as $d_2 = 0.8$ in Fig. 5(c), synchronization is unstable for very small $d_1$ values and all $\omega$ as well as $0 < d_1 < 0.4$ and $\omega$ around 0.1. Increasing $d_2$ decreases $\omega$ threshold at which globally stable synchronizations appear.
4. Conclusion

Time-varying networks which have been studied only recently are closer to the reality specially in biology. The biological network topologies can evolve with agent dynamics as time passes. In neuronal system, synapses have the property of activity dependent changes in synaptic strength known as plasticity. Studying synchronizability and synchronization patterns in neuronal networks have significant role in neural functions and are interesting topics in neuroscience. Thus in this paper we investigated synchronization in a system of two switching coupled neurons. A modified Hindmarsh–Rose which describes the impact of electric fields in neurons was used as the model of each neuron. The extracellular electric field is always present in the living nervous system and can influence on the synaptic strength of neurons connection. Therefore, we also coupled our system through the magnetic variable to consider ephaptic coupling. At first we used the system with static coupling. We computed master stability function as a function of membrane potential coupling and ephaptic coupling to find the range of coupling strength values at which the system is synchronous. It was considered that ephaptic coupling has a negligible effect on synchronization. Whereas the membrane potential coupling variations from low to high values, enhances the synchronization possibility. Then the coupling was changed in a way that switched between two values with a frequency. The averaged synchronization error was calculated for different coupling strengths and frequencies. It was obtained that if both switching coefficient values are small, the system is asynchronous for all \( \omega \) values. If one of the switching coefficients increases, then the system can be synchronous for higher frequencies. Finally large values of switching coefficients make the system synchronous for all \( \omega \) values. Eventually, to check the global stability of system synchronization, the basin stability method was used and demonstrated in a parameter plane.
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